



Stop and Search and Use of Force Scrutiny panel

Location: Chace Avenue Police Station

Date and Time: Monday 10th February, 5pm

Apologies: Dianne Tolley, Mary Burns, Tony Swann.

	Item Discussed	Actions
1.	Welcome and introduction Minutes of the last meeting, update on any actions taken from last meeting	Apols: Mary Burns, Dianne Tolley, Tony Swann.
2.	Update on stop and search data from Sgt Tony Hanlon	Presentation circulated by Tony. Nov, Dec data set. Panel comments: *Force comparison % would be useful. E.g. summary slide. BWV for stop and search – 98.1% Reduce number of slides. Focus on ratios – age, ethnicity etc. Ratio slide – remove graph Ratio for age and gender BWV for use of force – 96% Different types of use of force – requested demo.
3.	Dip sample of stop and search	Notes in checklist grid below. Did not receive the reference numbers from Tony.
4.	Dip sample of Use of Force records	Notes in checklist grid below. Did not receive the reference numbers from Tony.
5.	Action noted from discussions for update at next panel	
6.	Any notes, questions, requests for the next Bi annual Stop and Search commission	
7.	Date and location of next panel	



west midlands
police and crime
commissioner

--	--	--

Panel check list - Stop and Search



Stop and search Ref Number:	Record 1 SSCB_ 3 rd Nov	Record 2 Firearms search	Record 3
Points to consider on the Record			
Power – Does the stated Power match the object of search on the E-SEARCH record and Did the rationale reflect this within the ground's information section			
Do you feel the grounds were sufficiently covered in the free text?			
Handcuffing – if used do you feel were required?			
Did the detail on the record match that seen on BWV, i.e., age, race ethnicity, same sex officers, grounds?			
Was Go Wisely completed including Entitlement to a record, ref no. given? QR code shown?			
Is the panel content with the standard of the record and its detail and agree with supervisor sign off?			
Points to consider in BWV			
Did the officer explain the grounds clearly to the detainee? Considering - Modifying the language to meet the needs i.e., Young person Mental Health?			
Does the panel feel the detainee has fully understand the grounds explained to them?			
How many officers were present and involved in the search, did this impact? How could this look to the community?	Too many officers. One person ran off away from officers. No-one pursued.		
Can you choose 3 words to describe the interaction in relation to each below Behaviour/demeanour/Body language Tone of the officer Overall treatment of detainee			
Any other points	Officer says: I will go at my own pace – felt like a power move. Handcuffed, but don't believe he needed to be.	Intel – firearms flag on vehicle. Female stopped. Maybe in possession.	



	<p>Did not remove at end of search in reasonable time. Felt like he was being held responsible for person who ran off. Cause for concern and what was in the notes for reason to stop did not match. No evidence of knife. Didn't ask where he lived. Interaction was not right for young people. Supervisor review required.</p>	<p>Good interaction from the officer.</p>	
--	---	---	--

Panel check list – Use of Force

Use of Force BWV Reference	Record 1	Record 2	Record 3
<p>Has the inspector told you the type of Use of Force you are scrutinising? i.e. Taser, Non-compliant handcuffing?</p>	<p>19th Jan 11.36 Taser</p>		
<p>Do you feel the Use of force was necessary and fair?</p>			
<p>Do you feel the Use of force was proportionate to the incident and environment?</p>			
<p>Do you feel the correct level of Force was used?</p>			
<p>Do you feel the appropriate warning/clear communication was given before Force used?</p>			
<p>Was there a chance to de-escalate? If so, do you feel it was taken?</p>			
<p>Was after care offered? If so, was it proportionate and delivered timely and effectively?</p>			
<p>Do you feel the correct level of dignity/respect/empathy was shown?</p>			
<p>Can you choose 3 words to summarise the Use of Force?</p>			



Any other thoughts	Reported armed, possession of weapons, previous attempt murder. HMO access given. Officer with taser warning. Person complied with opening door and then was cuffed. Fair and proportionate in relation to the intel.		
--------------------	---	--	--